

# Approximating Hypervolume Contributions Using Kriging

DANI IRAWAN<sup>1</sup>, SEBASTIAN ROJAS GONZALEZ<sup>2</sup>, AND BORIS NAUJOKS<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>Institute for Data Science, Engineering, and Analytics TH Köln, University of Applied Sciences<sup>2</sup>Department of Decision Sciences and Information Management, KU Leuven  
dani.irawan,boris.naujoks@th-koeln.de sebastian.rojasgonzalez@kuleuven.be**Keywords:** evolutionary algorithm, hypervolume, metamodel, kriging.

## ABSTRACT

Hypervolume is an indicator to assess the quality of an obtained solution-set in multi- and many-objective optimization. Hypervolume-based optimization algorithms are rarely used for solving many-objective optimization problems due to the bottleneck of computing the hypervolume contributions of each solution. The current fastest exact hypervolume-computation algorithm is the incremental method [3]. However, it is not scalable to high dimensional problems because the computation cost grows exponentially with respect to problem's dimension. An alternative method for computing hypervolume-contribution is by using approximations, either approximating the total hypervolume or approximating the (least) contributions.

Examples of hypervolume-approximation algorithms have been proposed by Bringmann and Friedrich [5, 4], Ishibuchi, et al. [6], Bader, et al. [1]. Among these algorithms, only [5] has a guaranteed error bound. In this work we propose a novel approximation method, which incorporates uncertainty quantification techniques, based on *kriging* metamodels. Kriging, or Gaussian Process Regression [7], is a metamodeling approach that approximates outputs over the entire search space, and quantifies the uncertainty of the predictor through the mean square error (MSE), also known as *kriging variance* [10]. Kriging is well-known as an effective predictor because the kriging variance can be exploited to control the accuracy of the approximations.

More formally, let  $f(\mathbf{x})$  be a deterministic function that is analytically intractable, where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^T$  is an input vector of decision variables of dimension  $d$ . In the interest of fitting a kriging metamodel for the response at  $n$  design points, kriging assumes that the unknown response surface can be represented as  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + M(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$  is a vector of known trend functions (i.e., a prior trend model that can be defined as a smoothly varying deterministic function),  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is a vector of unknown parameters of compatible dimension and  $M(\mathbf{x})$  is a realization of a mean zero covariance-stationary Gaussian random field. It is common to consider a constant  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  instead of the trend term, as it has shown to more useful in practice [8].

In kriging, some samples are taken from the expensive source as training data to build a cheaper prediction model. We consider several metamodels that are fitted with different predictors (e.g., objective values, R2 and crowding distance). The proposed method works as follows: after

computing the e.g. expensive and exact hypervolume contributions of the points in the current front, we fit a kriging metamodel to the response surface of the hypervolume contribution. We exploit the kriging information to search for new points in view of improving the current front. Since for higher dimensions (i.e., more than 10 objectives), even computing the exact hypervolume contribution of each point in the current front is expensive, we also use other indicators with less computational complexity as predictors.

The consistency and correctness rate of the metamodels, as well as the computational cost, are compared against the exact hypervolume contributions and the newly proposed approximation method of Shang, et al.[9]. Preliminary results show that the method has potential. By exploiting both the kriging predictor and its uncertainty, the approximations can be used to sample points in e.g., sequential algorithms such as SMS-EMOA [2], as the computational cost is reduced significantly. Furthermore, we observe that the estimation of the kriging hyperparameters (via maximum likelihood) and the choice of kernel play a crucial role in training the metamodel in order to achieve accurate approximations. Additional experiments will provide valuable information in this regard.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Johannes Bader, Kalyanmoy Deb, and Eckart Zitzler. Faster hypervolume-based search using monte carlo sampling. volume 634 of *Lecture notes in economics and mathematical systems*, pages 313 – 326. Springer, 2010.
- [2] Nicola Beume, Boris Naujoks, and Michael Emmerich. SMS-EMOA: Multiobjective selection based on dominated hypervolume. *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, 181(3):1653 – 1669, 2007.
- [3] L. Bradstreet, L. While, and L. Barone. A fast incremental hypervolume algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 12(6):714–723, Dec 2008.
- [4] Karl Bringmann and Tobias Friedrich. Approximating the volume of unions and intersections of high-dimensional geometric objects. *Comput. Geom.*, 43(6):601–610, 2010.
- [5] Karl Bringmann and Tobias Friedrich. Approximating the least hypervolume contributor: Np-hard in general, but fast in practice. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 425:104 – 116, 2012.
- [6] Hisao Ishibuchi, Noritaka Tsukamoto, Yuji Sakane, and Yusuke Nojima. Indicator-based evolutionary algorithm with hypervolume approximation by achievement scalarizing functions. In *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation (GECCO)*, pages 527–534, New York, NY, 2010. ACM.
- [7] Carl Edward Rasmussen. *Gaussian processes for machine learning*. Citeseer, 2006.
- [8] Thomas J Santner, Brian J Williams, and William I Notz. *The design and analysis of computer experiments*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [9] Ke Shang, Hisao Ishibuchi, and Xi Ni. R2-based hypervolume contribution approximation, 2018.
- [10] Wim CM Van Beers and Jack Kleijnen. Kriging for interpolation in random simulation. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 54(3):255–262, 2003.